UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/509,256  | 06/14/2005  | Tapio Lankinen       | 1300-142            | 1261             |
| ROTHWELL, FIGG, ERNST & MANBECK, P.C. 1425 K STREET, N.W. |             |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
|   |             |                      | HAGHIGHATIAN, MINA  |                  |
| SUITE 800<br>WASHINGTON, DC 20005                         |             | ART UNIT             | PAPER NUMBER        |                  |
|   |             |                      | 1616                |                  |
|   |             |                      |                     |                  |
|   |             |                      | NOTIFICATION DATE   | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 07/14/2008          | ELECTRONIC       |

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PTO-PAT-Email@rfem.com

|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|--|--|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | 10/509,256   | LANKINEN ET AL.  |
| Examiner initiated interview duminary  | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|  | MINA HAGHIGHATIAN  | 1616   |
| All Participants:  | Status of Application:   | _  |
| (1) <u>MINA HAGHIGHATIAN</u> .   | (3)  |  |
| (2) <u>George Repper</u> .   | (4)  |  |
| Date of Interview: <u>1 July 2008</u>  | Time:  |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   | ant's representative)  |  |
| Part I.  |  |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  |  |  |
| Claims discussed:  |  |  |
| Prior art documents discussed:   |  |  |
| Part II.   |  |  |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Examiner telephoned the Applicant to verify the status of the Applicant   |  |  |
| Part III.  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summan</li> </ul> | e examiner will provide a writte<br>record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| /Mina Haghighatian/<br>Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616   | Applicant/Applicant's Representat                                  | ive Signature – if appropriate)                            |